

## REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for his courtesy in acknowledging the receipt of the papers submitted under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §119.

In response to the objection to the Abstract, a new Abstract is being submitted with this Amendment on a separate sheet of paper.

Claims 1 and 19-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention. In response to the rejection, new claims have been presented that do not use the term "such as". For this reason, it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1 and 19-35 were rejected as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Hill.

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 1 and 19-35 have been cancelled, and new claims 36 to 53 have been added to clearly distinguish Applicant's invention over the Hill patent. New claims 36 clearly points out the oscillatable longitudinal pin. Claims 37 to 53 point out additional features of Applicant's saddle which are not taught or suggested by the Hill patent. The newly presented claims are not anticipated by Hill because they point out the core of the invention which comprises a longitudinal oscillatable pin that allows the saddle to oscillate about its longitudinal

No means are disclosed or suggested by the Hill patent that would allow the saddle to oscillate about its longitudinal axis.

The Hill patent does not disclose a bicycle or motorcycle saddle which can be oscillate about its longitudinal axis. On the contrary, the bicycle saddle disclosed in this patent is specifically designed to absorb road shocks without oscillation and without deviating from a pre-selected inclination during use.


The saddle disclosed in the Hill patent does not allow the user or rider cause the saddle to oscillate about a longitudinal axis because Hill does not have any means capable that would permit deviation from a pre-selected inclination during use. New claim 36 points out this feature which is not disclosed by the Hill patent. In particular, Hill does not disclose a longitudinal oscillatable pin for allowing the saddle support to oscillate and moreover, does not disclose any means for preventing the oscillation of the pin and accordingly of the saddle support as desired. For these reasons, it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing discussion allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

10

An early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



James V. Costigan  
Registration No. 25,669

Hedman & Costigan, P.C.  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 302-8989